

## Bacterial Filtration Efficiency (BFE) Final Report

Test Article: SAVEWO 3DMASK  
Study Number: 1439630-S01  
Study Received Date: 03 Aug 2021  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0004 Rev 18  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** The BFE test is performed to determine the filtration efficiency of test articles by comparing the bacterial control counts upstream of the test article to the bacterial counts downstream. A suspension of *Staphylococcus aureus* was aerosolized using a nebulizer and delivered to the test article at a constant flow rate and fixed air pressure. The challenge delivery was maintained at  $1.7 - 3.0 \times 10^3$  colony forming units (CFU) with a mean particle size (MPS) of  $3.0 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$ . The aerosols were drawn through a six-stage, viable particle, Andersen sampler for collection. This test method complies with ASTM F2101-19 and EN 14683:2019, Annex B.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside  
BFE Test Area:  $\sim 40 \text{ cm}^2$   
BFE Flow Rate: 28.3 Liters per minute (L/min)  
Conditioning Parameters:  $85 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity (RH) and  $21 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$  for a minimum of 4 hours  
Positive Control Average:  $1.7 \times 10^3$  CFU  
Negative Monitor Count:  $<1$  CFU  
MPS:  $3.2 \mu\text{m}$



Mikell Goldsberry electronically approved  
Study Director

Mikell Goldsberry

18 Aug 2021 22:57 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time

**Results:**

Test Article Number	Percent BFE (%)
1	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>
2	>99.9
3	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>
4	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>
5	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> There were no detected colonies on any of the Andersen sampler plates for this test article.

The filtration efficiency percentages were calculated using the following equation:

$$\% BFE = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

C = Positive control average

T = Plate count total recovered downstream of the test article

Note: The plate count total is available upon request

## Latex Particle Challenge Final Report

Test Article: SAVEWO 3DMASK  
Study Number: 1441138-S01  
Study Received Date: 09 Aug 2021  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0005 Rev 08  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** This procedure was performed to evaluate the non-viable particle filtration efficiency (PFE) of the test article. Monodispersed polystyrene latex spheres (PSL) were nebulized (atomized), dried, and passed through the test article. The particles that passed through the test article were enumerated using a laser particle counter.

A one-minute count was performed, with the test article in the system. A one-minute control count was performed, without a test article in the system, before and after each test article. Control counts were performed to determine the average number of particles delivered to the test article. The filtration efficiency was calculated using the number of particles penetrating the test article compared to the average of the control values. During testing and controls, the air flow rate is maintained at 1 cubic foot per minute (CFM)  $\pm$  5%.

The procedure employed the basic particle filtration method described in ASTM F2299, with some exceptions; notably the procedure incorporated a non-neutralized challenge. In real use, particles carry a charge, thus this challenge represents a more natural state. The non-neutralized aerosol is also specified in the FDA guidance document on surgical face masks. All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside  
Area Tested: 91.5 cm<sup>2</sup>  
Particle Size: 0.1  $\mu$ m  
Laboratory Conditions: 22.5°C, 21% relative humidity (RH) at 1330; 22.8°C, 21% RH at 1357  
Average Filtration Efficiency: >99.984%  
Standard Deviation: 0.0199



Cameron Brierley electronically approved  
Study Director

Cameron Brierley

20 Aug 2021 00:33 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time

**Results:**

Test Article Number	Test Article Counts	Average Control Counts	Filtration Efficiency (%)
1	<1 <sup>a</sup>	13,339	>99.9975
2	7	13,669	99.949
3	1	13,864	99.9928
4	1	14,145	99.9929
5	2	14,253	99.986

<sup>a</sup> There were no detected particles penetrating this filter during testing.

## Viral Filtration Efficiency (VFE) Final Report

Test Article: SAVEWO 3DMASK  
Study Number: 1441137-S01  
Study Received Date: 09 Aug 2021  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0007 Rev 16  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** The VFE test is performed to determine the filtration efficiency of test articles by comparing the viral control counts upstream of the test article to the counts downstream. A suspension of bacteriophage  $\Phi$ X174 was aerosolized using a nebulizer and delivered to the test article at a constant flow rate and fixed air pressure. The challenge delivery was maintained at  $1.1 - 3.3 \times 10^3$  plaque forming units (PFU) with a mean particle size (MPS) of  $3.0 \mu\text{m} \pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$ . The aerosol droplets were drawn through a six-stage, viable particle, Andersen sampler for collection. The VFE test procedure was adapted from ASTM F2101.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside  
Test Area:  $\sim 40 \text{ cm}^2$   
VFE Flow Rate: 28.3 Liters per minute (L/min)  
Conditioning Parameters:  $85 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity (RH) and  $21 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$  for a minimum of 4 hours  
Positive Control Average:  $1.9 \times 10^3$  PFU  
Negative Monitor Count:  $<1$  PFU  
MPS:  $2.8 \mu\text{m}$



James Luskin electronically approved  
Study Director

James Luskin

26 Aug 2021 17:07 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time

**Results:**

Test Article Number	Percent VFE (%)
1	>99.9
2	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>
3	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>
4	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>
5	>99.9 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> There were no detected plaques on any of the Andersen sampler plates for this test article.

The filtration efficiency percentages were calculated using the following equation:

$$\% VFE = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

C = Positive control average

T = Plate count total recovered downstream of the test article

Note: The plate count total is available upon request

## Synthetic Blood Penetration Resistance Final Report

Test Article: SAVEWO 3DMASK  
Study Number: 1460632-S01  
Study Received Date: 19 Oct 2021  
Test Started Date: 29 Oct 2021  
Test Finished Date: 29 Oct 2021  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0012 Rev 09  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** This procedure was performed to evaluate surgical facemasks and other types of protective clothing materials designed to protect against fluid penetration. The purpose of this procedure is to simulate an arterial spray and evaluate the effectiveness of the test article in protecting the user from possible exposure to blood and other body fluids. The distance from the target area surface to the tip of the cannula is 30.5 cm. A test volume of 2 mL of synthetic blood was employed using the targeting plate method.

This test method was designed to comply with ASTM F1862 and ISO 22609 (as referenced in EN 14683:2019 and AS4381:2015) with the following exception: ISO 22609 requires testing to be performed in an environment with a temperature of  $21 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$  and a relative humidity of  $85 \pm 10\%$ . Instead, testing was performed at ambient conditions within one minute of removal from the environmental chamber held at those parameters.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Number of Test Articles Tested: 32  
Number of Test Articles Passed: 32  
Test Side: Outside Material  
Pre-Conditioning: Minimum of 4 hours at  $21 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $85 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity (RH)  
Test Conditions:  $19.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 23% RH

**Results:** Per ASTM F1862 and ISO 22609, an acceptable quality limit of 4.0% is met for a normal single sampling plan when  $\geq 29$  of 32 test articles show passing results.

Test Pressure: 160 mmHg (21.3 kPa)

Test Article Number	Synthetic Blood Penetration
1-32	None Seen



James Luskin electronically approved  
Study Director

James Luskin

03 Nov 2021 19:34 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time





## Differential Pressure (Delta P) Final Report

Test Article: SAVEWO 3DMASK  
Study Number: 1441139-S01  
Study Received Date: 09 Aug 2021  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0004 Rev 18  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** The Delta P test is performed to determine the breathability of test articles by measuring the differential air pressure on either side of the test article using a manometer, at a constant flow rate. The Delta P test complies with EN 14683:2019, Annex C and ASTM F2100-19.

All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside  
Delta P Flow Rate: 8 Liters per minute (L/min)  
Conditioning Parameters: 85 ± 5% relative humidity (RH) and 21 ± 5°C for a minimum of 4 hours

### Results:

Test Article Number	Delta P (mm H <sub>2</sub> O/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Delta P (Pa/cm <sup>2</sup> )
1	2.4	23.7
2	2.4	23.6
3	2.5	24.2
4	2.5	24.4
5	2.4	23.5



Mikell Goldsberry electronically approved  
Study Director

Mikell Goldsberry

16 Aug 2021 22:33 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time

## Flammability of Clothing Textiles Final Report

Test Article: SAVEWO 3DMASK  
Study Number: 1441140-S01  
Study Received Date: 09 Aug 2021  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0073 Rev 07  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** This procedure was performed to evaluate the flammability of plain surface clothing textiles by measuring the ease of ignition and the speed of flame spread. The parameter of time is used to separate materials into different classes, thereby assisting in a judgment of fabric suitability for clothing and protective clothing material. The test procedure was performed in accordance with the test method outlined in 16 CFR Part 1610 (a) *Step 1 - testing in the original state*. *Step 2 - Refurbishing and testing after refurbishing*, was not performed. All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Article Side Tested: Outside Surface  
Orientation: Machine

### Test Criteria for Specimen Classification (See 16 CFR Part 1610.7):

Class	Plain Surface Textile Fabric
1	Burn time $\geq 3.5$ seconds, IBE, or DNI
2	Not applicable to plain surface textile fabrics
3	Burn time $< 3.5$ seconds

DNI = Test Article did not ignite

IBE = Test Article ignited, but extinguished



Cameron Brierley electronically approved  
Study Director

Cameron Brierley

18 Aug 2021 00:07 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time

**Results:** Testing was performed on samples as they were received. If refurbishing is needed, it is up to the sponsor to provide appropriate samples for testing before and after refurbishing. The test articles submitted by the sponsor achieved a Class 1 flammability rating.

Replicate Number	Time of Flame Spread
1	IBE
2	IBE
3	IBE
4	IBE
5	IBE

## Microbial Cleanliness (Bioburden) of Medical Masks Final Report

---

Test Article: Savewo 3 ply Face Mask  
Study Number: 1297030-S01  
Study Received Date: 07 May 2020  
Testing Facility: Nelson Laboratories, LLC  
6280 S. Redwood Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84123 U.S.A.  
Test Procedure(s): Standard Test Protocol (STP) Number: STP0036 Rev 15  
Customer Specification Sheet (CSS) Number: 202002632 Rev 01  
Deviation(s): None

**Summary:** The testing was conducted in accordance with EN 14683:2019, with the exception of approximate volumes of eluent used when performing the extraction procedure and a temperature range of 30-35°C used for aerobic incubation.

When bioburden results are calculated using a software program, manual calculations may differ slightly due to rounding. The counts determined on products are colony forming units and may not always reflect individual microorganisms. The sponsor performs any statistical analysis and determines the acceptable limits. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.



Robert Putnam electronically approved  
Study Director

Robert Putnam

22 May 2020 14:25 (+00:00)  
Study Completion Date and Time

**Results:**

Unit Number	Weight (g)	Aerobic	Fungal	Total Bioburden (CFU/mask)	Total Bioburden (CFU/g)
1	3.2	<3	<3	<6.1	<1.9
2	3.1	3	<3	<5.9	<1.9
3	3.1	<3	<3	<6.0	<1.9
4	3.1	<3	<3	<5.9	<1.9
5	3.0	<3	<3	<5.9	<2.0
Recovery Efficiency	UTD <sup>a</sup>				

< = No Organisms Detected

UTD = Unable to Determine

Note: The results are reported as colony forming units per test article.

<sup>a</sup> UTD due to zero count on the first rinse. An alternative method or inoculated product recovery efficiency is recommended.

**Method Suitability:**

Organism	Percentage
<i>Bacillus atrophaeus</i>	111%

**Test Method Acceptance Criteria:** If applicable, anaerobic controls are acceptable for the bioburden test results. The number of masks to be tested shall be a minimum of 5 or more to meet an acceptable quality level of 4%. The bioburden of the medical mask shall be < 30 CFU/g tested.

**Procedure:**

Positive Controls/Monitors: *Bacillus atrophaeus*  
 Extract Fluid: Peptone Tween®  
 Extract Fluid Volume: ~300 mL  
 Extract Method: Orbital Shaking for 15 minutes at 250 rpm  
 Plating Method: Membrane Filtration  
 Agar Medium: Tryptic Soy Agar  
                     Potato Dextrose Agar  
 Recovery Efficiency: Exhaustive Rinse Method  
 Aerobic Bacteria: Plates were incubated 3-7 days at 30-35°C, then enumerated.  
 Fungal: Plates were incubated 5-7 days at 20-25°C, then enumerated.